

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,796		11/13/2003	Ufrich Dzialas	22709	7864	
535	7590	06/29/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ARL F ROSS	RAO, G N	RAO, G NAGESH		
5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900.				. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIVERD	RDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			1722		
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/712,796		DZIALAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	G. Nagesh R		1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, n. a reply within the statuton eriod will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ion to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	<u>6/6/2005</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-	-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10 and 12-15</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10 and 12-15</u> is/are rejection of the company	ndrawn from consi ected.	deration.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been r ments have been r priority document ureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-10, and 12-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buehning (US Patent No. 5,632,938) in view of Mende (US Patent No. 5,017,112).

In a molding apparatus Buehning 938 teaches an apparatus with a melt-blowing die having a presettable air-gap that is generally used in polymer processing, where there are guide-flanks as seen in figure 4 elements 26 and 58 where they are at an acute angle as indicated also in Column 8 Lines 59-65, where the internal angle of the nosepiece is between 45 to 90 degrees (falls within range of acute angle by definition). Also the prior art teaches a respective member shaped to fit into said nozzle bore received therein (Figure 4, Element 69).

Buehning 938 also teaches plurality of passageways for compressed air flow as indicated in figure 4 elements 27 and 28 which are air knives that allow for air to flow through passages 54 and 55 (Column 7 Lines 17-31 and 8 Lines 45-50). The air flow is there to cool down the resin flowing out of the melt-blown orifice tip (Figure 4 Elements 26 and 71).

However Buehning 938 lacked the multiplicity of bores within the elongated nozzle bore body, which is in essence a melt-blow die cavity.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,796

Art Unit: 1722

Page 3

In an apparatus related to melt blowing, Mende 112 teaches a melt-blowing cavity die including a plurality of capillaries and orifices which is used to obtain more than one flow of a molten resin from the tip portion of the capillary die by providing a plurality of notches on the tip portion of the die (See Figures 1-3).

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the device taught by Buehning 938 with the teachings of Mende 112, to take advantage of the teachings set forth by Mende 112 for the capability of achieving a higher discharge amount and stability without an increase in the fiber's diameter as extruded from the die tip.

2. Upon review of the prior art, examiner and disagrees that there is a respective member shaped to fit into said bores as taught by Buehning 938 and mentioned earlier that Element 69 as shown in Figure 4 reads on that respective member fit within a bore cavity making up the nozzle. Furthermore it would be obvious to modify the Buehning 938 device with the manifold taught by new referenced art Mende 112 to prevent leakage and waste of material.

Furthermore applicant contends that the purpose of their device being drawn towards thermoplastic synthetic resin makes it unique. It should be noted that

Art Unit: 1722

materials worked upon in an apparatus bear no weight to the structural limitation, since it is viewed as a recitation of intended use.

Finally applicant argues an orifice density of 100 per centimeters or more, which is inconsequential to the claim language since the applicant in the claims never specifically claimed those limitations.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will

Application/Control Number: 10/712,796

Art Unit: 1722

be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Page 5

the examiner should be directed to G. Nagesh Rao whose telephone number is

(571) 272-2946. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GNR

6/20/05